

**Missouri Bar
2020 Trial Court Evaluation - Lawyer Survey
Judge: Lynne R. Perkins
22nd Judicial Circuit**

Professional Interaction:

1) Estimated number of evaluator's cases the judge has presided over during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 74 %
6 - 10 cases 15 %
More than 10 cases 12 %

2) Estimated number of evaluator's cases that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office.

1 - 5 cases 74 %
6 - 10 cases 15 %
More than 10 cases 12 %

3) Of cases before the judge that went to trial and decision during the judge's current term of office, the rulings were:

Primarily in your party's favor 21 %
About equally in favor of and against your party 64 %
Primarily against your party 9 %
N/A 6 %

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge was prepared for court.	0	0	3	5	25	1	4.67
The judge treated the parties equally.	1	2	3	3	24	1	4.42
The judge used courtroom time efficiently.	0	0	4	5	24	1	4.61
The judge addressed individuals (e.g. attorneys, court staff, litigants, witnesses, and the public) respectfully in the courtroom.	0	0	1	4	28	1	4.82
The judge made sure all parties (attorneys and their clients) understood the court proceedings.	0	0	2	5	25	1	4.72
The judge started courtroom proceedings on time.	1	0	2	6	23	2	4.56
The judge conducted proceedings in an impartial manner.	1	1	5	2	24	1	4.42

Survey Questions/Results:

	Never (1)	Rarely (2)	Sometimes (3)	Frequently (4)	Every Time (5)	N/A	Average Rating
The judge allowed appropriate time for each proceeding.	0	1	2	4	25	1	4.66
The judge maintained a professional demeanor in the courtroom.	0	0	0	7	26	1	4.79
The judge listened carefully during court proceedings.	0	1	2	5	25	1	4.64
The judge adhered to rules of procedure appropriate to each proceeding.	0	3	4	2	24	1	4.42
The judge applied rules of evidence relevant to each proceeding.	1	3	3	2	20	5	4.28
The judge assisted parties in narrowing key issues in dispute.	1	1	3	6	19	4	4.37
The judge carefully considered arguments from both sides before ruling.	1	0	4	3	24	2	4.53
The judge gave reasons for a ruling when needed.	1	1	3	5	21	2	4.42
The judge 's ruling(s) cited the applicable substantive law.	1	4	2	4	19	4	4.20
The judge 's ruling(s) followed logically from the evidence presented.	1	4	2	1	22	4	4.30
The judge issued clear written orders.	1	1	4	4	22	2	4.41
Considering the amount of applicable law and complexity of the pertinent legal questions, the judge's decisions were rendered promptly.	0	1	1	2	26	3	4.77